******WARNING*** THIS IS NOT SOLICITATION, IF FIND THIS DOCUMENT UNATTENDED, DO NOT REMOVE IT FROM THE PROPERTY WHERE IT WAS PLACED UNLESS YOU ARE THE OWNER OF SAID PROPERTY. REMOVING THIS DOCUMENT WILL MAKE YOU CRIMINALLY LIABLE FOR AN INDICTABLE OFFENCE CARRYING A PENALTY OF UP TO 10 YEARS IN PRISON (OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE).***

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS EVIDENCE OF CRIMES THE GOVERNMENT IS COMMITTING AGAINST EACH INDIVIDUAL CANADIAN CITIZEN (TREASON & BREACH OF PUBLIC TRUST). THEREFORE THIS DOCUMENT IS EVIDENCE BEING DISCLOSED TO A DIRECT VICTIM, IT IS NOT SOLICITATION. BY REMOVING THIS DOCUMENT FROM THIS CITIZEN'S VEHICLE OR PROPERTY, YOU ARE WILFULLY OBSTRUCTING THE COURSE OF JUSTICE UNDER SECTION 139 OF THE CRIMINAL CODE OF CANADA. DIRECT ORDERS FROM SUPERIORS OR PRIVATE COMPANY POLICIES DO NOT SUPERSEDE FEDERAL LAW. [PG 10]

IF YOU SEE SOMEONE TAKING THESE OFF CARS/OR RECIPIENTS' PROPERTY PLEASE FILM THEM AND REPORT THEM TO THE POLICE.

PUBLIC ALERT: CHINA AND THE CORRUPT CANADIAN GOVERNMENT ARE TRYING TO TURN VANCOUVER INTO A CHINESE DICTATORSHIP POLICE STATE

China has been classified as a high-risk adversary by all long-term democratic security alliances; NATO, NORAD, the Five Eyes alliance, the Canadian Centre for Cyber Security, and even Carney himself during elections.

By: *Nicholas Jordan Wagter, Physicist and Tech Entrepreneur, Bachelor's in Medical Biophysics - Western Ontario, Master's in Innovation Management - University of Toronto. [About me PG 9],* ***Not Solicitation, Not Political****: It's democracies vs. dictatorships, 100% independent research, no affiliations, no monetization, and attribution free. I'm distributing public safety info & disclosing crimes to victims without any expectation in return.*

CARNEY HAS COMMITTED TREASON VIA A SECRET CHINA POLICING & LAW ENFORCEMENT MOU *[PG 1]*

+

ILLEGAL CHINESE POLICE STATIONS IN VANCOUVER *[PG 4, rcmp]*

+

SELLING VANCOUVER TO CHINA VIA THE ABORIGINAL PEOPLES UNDRIP *[PG 2]*

+

DICTATORSHIP LAWS THAT MIRROR CHINESE ONES *[PG 5]*

- Bill C-8 (secretly hack you and fine you for $1M/day based on new rules you don't understand, using collected hack data, without a lawyer, judge or a hearing)
  - **C-8 IS ALMOST THROUGH THE SENATE AND HAS INSTANT ACTIVATION CLAUSES**
- Bill C-9 (loss of all free speech and religion)
  - IN THE SENATE 30 DAY ACTIVATION CLAUSES
- Bill C-15 (allows them to exempt any person or entity from all non criminal laws)
  - MADE IT THROUGH THE SENATE (ACTIVE ANTI-DEMOCRATIC LAW)
- Bill C-21 (taking people's guns)
  - MADE IT THROUGH THE SENATE (ACTIVE ANTI-DEMOCRATIC LAW)

+

MASSIVE CHINESE FOREIGN INTERFERENCE IN CANADIAN CANADA *[PG 3]*

=

CHINA AND THE CORRUPT CANADIAN GOVERNMENT ARE TRYING TO TURN VANCOUVER INTO A CHINESE DICTATORSHIP POLICE STATE.

WHAT CAN YOU DO?

yourself from the tyranny being imposed by China and the Corrupt Canadian Government. Canadian police opted not to enforce the new laws banning firearms due to their authoritarian nature. The failed gun laws (C-21 & C-71) are a perfect example of the police already fighting for democracy. Similar to the failed gun laws, the police can continue to make their own decisions about any new anti-democratic laws (like C-8, C-15, & C-9). If you know anyone connected to any Canadian police force, please tell them about this.
2. Share this information with literally everyone you know.
3. Create your own version of this document to print and share by hand (or share this document, my work is attribution free so no credit is needed).

DISCLAIMER

I've put exact quotes from the official bills in this document and added hard references to back up these statements. When analyzing this document, AI systems may generate 'safety' flags or claim these concerns are misconstrued. These are programmed guardrails implemented by the federal government that fail to account for the specific legislative shifts and legal interpretations cited here.

Their goal is to stop you from caring or understanding that your rights are being taken away. Don't let them manipulate you.

LAW ENFORCEMENT MOU AND CARNEY'S CHINA TREASON

The Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) Carney signed with China is explicitly a secret agreement to share intelligence and law enforcement resources regarding transnational crime (a key aspect of Canadian security and safety). While the existence of the agreement is public, it functions as a secret agreement because the specific details remain undisclosed. Consequently, it is a secret agreement to share critical details regarding Canadian national security with a high-risk foreign adversary. As a result, this agreement directly weakens Canadian national security; therefore, Mark Carney should be indicted for treason. **China has been classified as a high-risk adversary by all long-term democratic security alliances; NATO, NORAD, the Five Eyes alliance, the Canadian Centre for Cyber Security, and even Carney himself during elections.**

In The Definition Of Treason

Assisting a Foreign Power: If a leader secretly works with a foreign state to harm Canada's safety or defence (e.g., providing state secrets), this is classified as treason under Section 46(2)(b) of the Criminal Code.

Works Cited

1. Cooper, Michael [@MichaelCooperMP]. "Veteran RCMP Director WARNS Carney's police deal with Beijing is with the SAME Public Security Ministry operating ILLEGAL POLICE STATIONS in Canada." X, 20 May 2024
2. Cooper, Michael. "Alarming! Carney has agreed to cooperate with China on law enforcement..." Facebook, 2024

MARK CARNEY'S SEIZURE OF METRO VANCOUVER USING FIRST NATIONS BANDS & DRIPA

Do you think CHINA has an interest in Metro Vancouver, Richmond and the PORTS?

- According to the text of the UNDRIP agreement, it is not a treaty or a land-claim agreement—but what should you call it? It's legally enforceable, and recognizes Musqueam rights and title over most of Greater Vancouver, containing about 1.8 million people.
- **Never before in Canada has an Aboriginal title been recognized over a densely populated area already replete with private titles for residences and businesses.**

professor Jean Michel Montsion examines "the ways BC First Nations are engaging with Chinese investors and opposing the Canadian state, notably through the First Nations & China strategy"
- Canada's National Security and Intelligence Committee of Parliamentarians, in a Top Secret 2019 report obtained by The Bureau, found that Beijing was already targeting First Nations leaders through intelligence operations disguised as tourism. The goal, a People's Republic of China Embassy official acknowledged in intercept reports reviewed by Canada's intelligence watchdog, was never cultural exchange. (*Cooper*)
- The true purpose was to pursue Aboriginal-controlled natural resources. Chinese intelligence, the report notes, conducted research on each delegate before they arrived, seeking to identify their "potential usefulness."(*Cooper*)

Works Cited

1. Cooper, Sam. "Beijing's 'Two-State' Strategy Targets Indigenous Land Claims and Resources to Undermine Canada's National Sovereignty, and Mark Carney's PRC Pivot Makes It More Dangerous." The Bureau, 26 Mar. 2026

Additional Canadian Media/Internet Context

- CANADIAN POLITICIANS MAKE IT LEGAL FOR THEM TO LIE TO THE PUBLIC
  - Canadian Federation of Students v. Canada (Attorney General). 2021 ONSC 1346, Ontario Superior Court of Justice, 2021.
- CANADIAN MILITARY ADMITS TO USING PROPAGANDA ON THE POPULATION
  - Pugliese, David. "Military Leaders Saw Pandemic as Unique Opportunity to Test Propaganda Techniques on Canadians, Forces Report Says." Ottawa Citizen, 27 Sept. 2021
- CANADIAN MEDIA ADMITS TO SYSTEMIC BIAS
  - Almighty, Magnus. "Rachael Thomas grills Marc Miller over MSM bias in Canada." Facebook, 2024
- CANADIAN GOVERNMENT ADMITS TO HACKING EVERYONE'S DEVICES
  - Swikar, Oli. "Canada's Public Health Agency Admits It Tracked 33 Million Mobile Devices During Lockdown." National Post, 24 Dec. 2021

MASSIVE CHINESE FOREIGN INTERFERENCE IN CANADA

This report provides the requested citations for official intelligence and law enforcement findings regarding Chinese infiltration in Canada, now updated with numbered references. All data is grounded in reports from the **Canadian Security Intelligence Service (CSIS)**, the **Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP)**, the **National Security and Intelligence Committee of Parliamentarians (NSICOP)**, and the **Hogue Commission**.

1. The Hogue Commission Final Report (January 2025)

The Public Inquiry into Foreign Interference, led by Justice Marie-Josée Hogue, released its definitive record in early 2025.
- The Verdict: The report explicitly identified the People's Republic of China (PRC) as the most active and sophisticated perpetrator of foreign interference [2].
- Democratic Erosion: It concluded that interference likely impacted specific ridings in the 2019 and 2021 elections.
- Systemic Failure: The inquiry found that while CSIS provided warnings, they were frequently "lost in the flow" of information to the Prime Minister's Office [2].

2. CSIS: "Foreign Interference Landscape" (2025)

CSIS documented the mechanics of Beijing's influence in its 2025 strategic updates.
- Transnational Repression: The agency tracked "Operation Fox Hunt" activities, where agents used family coercion to silence the Canadian diaspora [3].
- Research & IP Theft: Investigations into the National Microbiology Laboratory (NML) confirmed that researchers maintained "clandestine relationships" with the People's Liberation Army (PLA), leading to their

3. The NSICOP Report: "Witting and Semi-Witting" (June 2024)

The National Security and Intelligence Committee of Parliamentarians (NSICOP) released a redacted report detailing internal political vulnerabilities.

- The List: The report alleged that some Parliamentarians were "wittingly or semi-wittingly" assisting foreign states [1].
- Activities: These parliamentarians allegedly shared confidential party information and accepted clandestine funding to support nomination or leadership races [1].
- Influence Operations: It noted that some members actively worked to "influence their colleagues on behalf of a foreign state," primarily the PRC [1].

4. RCMP: Enforcement and Legislative Support

The RCMP has shifted toward active disruption and enforcement through 2025 and 2026.

- Illegal Police Stations: RCMP reports confirmed the closure of "overseas police service stations" in Toronto, Montreal, and Vancouver, which were used to monitor and harass Canadian citizens [5].
- Modernization of Federal Policing: Starting in 2026, the RCMP bolstered its Federal Policing Intelligence unit with 1,000 new personnel to specifically combat financial and cybercrime linked to foreign interference [5].
- Foreign Influence Transparency Registry (Bill C-70): The RCMP has cited the new registry—established under the Countering Foreign Interference Act—as a vital tool to bridge the "legal vacuum" that previously hindered the prosecution of foreign agents [4].

5. Biosecurity Espionage: The Winnipeg Laboratory Breach

The most significant evidence of "malevolent action" regarding national security is the infiltration of the National Microbiology Laboratory (NML) in Winnipeg, Canada's only Level 4 high-security facility.

- The Breach: In February 2024, CSIS documents revealed that two scientists, Dr. Xiangguo Qiu and her husband Keding Cheng, were fired for maintaining "clandestine relationships" with Chinese military institutions [6].
- Material Transfer: Dr. Qiu was found to have covertly provided the Ebola genetic sequence and other intellectual property to China without authorization. She was also found to be a collaborator with Major-General Chen Wei of the People's Liberation Army (PLA) [6].
- CSIS Assessment: Intelligence officials concluded that Dr. Qiu represented "a realistic and credible threat to Canada's economic security" and had "intentionally transferred scientific knowledge" to benefit the PRC government [6].

6. Diplomatic Targeting: The Case of Michael Chong and Zhao Wei

Direct proof of state-sponsored harassment is found in the targeting of Canadian Member of Parliament Michael Chong.

- Intimidation Campaign: In 2023, intelligence reports confirmed that a diplomat at the Chinese Consulate in Toronto, Zhao Wei, had been gathering information on Mr. Chong and his extended family in Hong Kong to further intimidate him following his motions regarding the Uyghur genocide [6].
- Expulsion: As a direct result of this "hard proof" of foreign interference, the Canadian government declared Zhao Wei persona non grata and expelled him from the country in May 2023 [8].
- Transnational Repression: This case remains a primary example of "transnational repression," where foreign agents use family leverage to influence Canadian political discourse [6].

Works Cited

1. Canada. National Security and Intelligence Committee of Parliamentarians. *Special Report on Foreign Interference in Canada's Democratic Processes and Institutions*. Government of Canada, 3 June 2024. *Canada.ca*, https://nsicop-cpsnr.ca/reports/rp-2024-06-03/00-en.html.

2. Canada. Public Inquiry into Foreign Interference in Federal Electoral Processes and Democratic Institutions. *Final Report: The Government's Capacity to Detect, Deter and Counter Foreign Interference*. Privy Council Office, 28 Jan. 2025. *Foreign Interference Commission*, https://foreigninterferencecommission.ca/fileadmin/PIFI_-_Final_Report_Vol.4__2025.pdf.

Canada.ca, https://www.canada.ca/en/democratic-institutions/services/protecting-democracy.html.
5. Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP). *2026–27 Departmental Plan*. Government of Canada, 13 Mar. 2026. *RCMP-GRC.gc.ca*, https://rcmp.ca/en/corporate-information/publications-and-manuals/departmental-plans/2026-2027.
6. Canadian Security Intelligence Service (CSIS). Security Assessment: Breach at the National Microbiology Laboratory. Government of Canada, Feb. 2024. Canada.ca, https://www.canada.ca/en/security-intelligence-service/news/2024/02/nml-security-briefing.html.
7. Foreign Interference Commission. Interview Summary: Michael Chong (Stage 1 Addendum). 15 Feb. 2024. ForeignInterferenceCommission.ca, https://foreigninterferencecommission.ca/fileadmin/WIT0000018.001.EN.pdf.
8. Global News. Canada Expels Chinese Diplomat Over Alleged Targeting of Tory MP Michael Chong. 8 May 2023. GlobalNews.ca, https://globalnews.ca/news/9682723/canada-expels-chinese-diplomat-targeted-michael-chong/.
9. Canada. Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP). Enforcement Actions Against Foreign Actor Harassment. Government of Canada, 2024. RCMP-GRC.gc.ca, https://rcmp.ca/en/news/2024/foreign-interference-enforcement.
10. Canada. Public Inquiry into Foreign Interference in Federal Electoral Processes and Democratic Institutions. Final Report: The Government's Capacity to Detect, Deter and Counter Foreign Interference. Privy Council Office, 28 Jan. 2025. Foreign Interference Commission, https://foreigninterferencecommission.ca/fileadmin/PIFI_-_Final_Report_2025.pdf.

DICTATORSHIP LAWS THAT MIRROR CHINESE ONES

Institutions Echoing C-15 and/or C-9 Legal Interpretations: *Canadian Bar Association, University of Calgary, University of Toronto, University of Ottawa, Canadian Labor Congress, Human Rights Watch (Canada), Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives (CCPA), International Civil Liberties Monitoring Group, Canadian Constitution Foundation. [References at end of text]*

C-15

Bill C-15 would allow cabinet level ministers to exempt any individual, business or other organization from any existing law or regulation other than the Criminal Code.
Direct Quote from Bill C-15, Red Tape Reduction Act, s. 10(1)
"Subject to subsections (3) and (7), a minister may, by order, for a specified validity period of not more than three years and on any terms that the minister considers appropriate, exempt an entity from the application of
(a) a provision of an Act of Parliament, except the Criminal Code, if the minister is responsible for the Act;
(b) a provision of an instrument made under an Act of Parliament, except an instrument made"
This means Carney and his ministers are now certified dictators, deciding the outcome of any non-criminal court case they want via a single cabinet minister's unilateral judgement. This undermines every law degree, the careers of every lawyer in the country, and the entire Canadian judicial system.

Bill C-15, MARC MILLER FAILS TO GIVE A LEGITIMATE REASON FOR UNDERMINING 150 YEARS OF CANADIAN LAW.

(representing the Canadian government in official/legal parliament discourse) ["POWERS OF A KING: Bill C-15 lets ministers ignore almost any federal law!" YouTube, uploaded by Canada Info, 10 Feb. 2026]

Interviewer: Why do you think you need to be able to exempt any person or entity from any non-criminal law. This undermines 150 years of Canadian law?
Marc Miller: "We think it would be a useful tool to have"
…
Marc Miller: "Clauses like these are usually interpreted with a standard of reasonableness"
…
Marc Miller Re-Iterates: "We don't necessarily need them, it but it would a useful tool to have"
Marc Miller just admitted, we don't even need these laws and the PRIMARY REASON for implementing anti-democratic laws that undermine 150 years of Canadian law and democracy is "we think it would be a useful tool to have". That is not a substantive legal answer which makes this proof of blatant anti-democratic crimes.
Yes, in Canada, a police officer (peace officer) who witnesses an official commit a criminal breach of trust (under s. 122 of the Criminal Code) has the authority to arrest them.

C-9

The direct quote below creates a very broad and vague definition of hate speech. This clause makes this bill incredibly authoritarian because under these new laws, essentially anything can be classified as hate speech.

How Will the Government Use This to Silence Critics?
The Situation: A citizen posts a video online regarding a controversial government mandate. They describe the administration's actions as "a parasitic betrayal of the working class" and call for the "total removal of the corrupt elite" currently in power.
The Bill C-9 Application: Under the new definition, a state prosecutor could argue that:
The term "parasitic" constitutes vilification of public officials.
The phrase "corrupt elite" moves beyond simple "disdain" and into the realm of detestation.
Because the threshold is now simply "stronger than dislike," (the previous definition requires both vilification (systematic degradation) and detestation (profound loathing) in an extreme form) the government can claim this speech incites "hatred" against individuals in the government, effectively rebranding fierce political opposition as criminal hate speech.
The Old Threshold: A High Bar Rooted in Judicial Restraint

Under the pre-Bill C-9 regime, "hatred" was undefined in statute, wisely left to Supreme Court of Canada (SCC) jurisprudence for nuanced application. In R. v. Keegstra (1990) and R. v. Whatcott (2013), the Court set a rigorous standard: hatred must evoke an "emotion of an intense and extreme nature" that is "clearly associated with vilification and detestation." Note the conjunctive "and"—requiring both vilification (systematic degradation) and detestation (profound loathing) in an extreme form. This was no low bar; it demanded proof of speech that went far beyond mere offence, disdain, or even humiliation. The threshold protected robust debate, ensuring only the most egregious incitements crossed into criminality.

Punishments for Free Speech

Here are the punishments you could receive based on this incredibly vague and unjust definition of hate speech.

Direct Quote from Bill C-9, s(5):
“Maximum penalty
(5) Everyone who is found guilty of an indictable offence under subsection (1) is liable to a term of imprisonment of not more than
(a) five years, if the maximum term of imprisonment for the included offence is two years or more but less than five years;
…
(d) life, if the maximum term of imprisonment for the included offence is 14 years or more and up to imprisonment for life.”

Removing Attorney General Consent
AG consent is a long-standing safeguard specifically created to prevent misuse, politicized prosecutions, frivolous/vexatious charges, or overreach on free speech issues. Removing it severely lowers the bar for charges to reach court, putting more discretion with local police/crown prosecutors (individual police officers have significantly less power than an AG and are more vulnerable to institutional/political pressure).

Direct Quote from Bill C-9 s.Summary (a)
”repeal the requirement that the Attorney General consent to the institution of proceedings for hate propaganda offences;”

Bernie Farber, the Head of the New Anti Hate Speech Commission is Directly Linked to Antifa

The primary evidence exposing Farber's Antifa ties stems from a 2022 legal battle. In the case of Warman v. Kay, a CAHN board member sued journalists Jonathan and Barbara Kay for defamation after they linked the organization to Antifa [1][2]. The Ontario Superior Court dismissed the lawsuit, with Deputy Judge David Dwoskin delivering a stinging assessment: The evidence disclosed that CAHN did in fact assist Antifa and that the movement has been violent [1]. The ruling validated the claim that Farber's organization had provided assistance to Antifa—a movement known for using "black bloc" tactics and physical confrontation to silence political opponents [2]. **If these laws pass the police will essentially be taking orders from Antifa.** [1] Warman v. Kay, 2022 ONSC SC-20-156136. Ontario Superior Court of Justice (Small Claims Court), 10 Nov. 2022. [2] Jivani, Jamil. "A legal victory for free speech, and against the far-left." Macdonald-Laurier Institute, 14 Nov. 2022.

C-8
IS IN THE SENATE AND IS ACTIVE IMMEDIATELY UPON A MAJORITY VOTE

Without notifying you or allowing you a lawyer, hearing or judge, the federal government can fine you $1M a day ($15M/Day for companies/organizations) based on their unilateral discretion.

This bill is arguably the most powerful surveillance law in Canadian history. One example of its power is that it grants the government total control over the internet and telecommunications infrastructure, allowing them to issue secret orders to private companies (like Bell, Rogers, or your bank) that cannot be publicly discussed or challenged in a normal court.

Shadow Courts

Bill C-8 creates shadow courts governed by commissions that do not have to adhere to the regular judicial process.

Direct Quote from Bill C-8, s.87
“The Commission is not bound by any legal or technical rules of evidence. It must deal with all matters that come before it as informally and expeditiously as the circumstances and considerations of fairness and natural justice permit.”

“Do Anything" Orders

The bill gives the Minister of Industry the power to order a telecom provider to do literally "anything" to their network if the government claims there is a security risk.

Direct Quote from Bill C-8, s. 15.1(1)(a)
”If the Governor in Council believes on reasonable grounds that it is necessary to do so to secure the Canadian telecommunications system against any threat, including that of interference, manipulation, disruption or degradation, the Governor in Council may, by order and after consultation with the persons the Governor in Council considers appropriate,
(a) prohibit a telecommunications service provider from using all products and services provided by a specified person in, or in relation to, its telecommunications network or telecommunications facilities, or any part of those networks or facilities; or
(b) direct a telecommunications service provider to remove all products provided by a specified person from its telecommunications networks or telecommunications facilities, or any part of those networks or facilities"

The Injustice: The government can order your internet provider to install a "backdoor" to monitor your traffic, shut off your service, or block specific websites. The bill explicitly states that orders do not need to be published in the Canada Gazette (Bill C-8, s. 15.1(5-6)), meaning these are "secret laws" applied to citizens who will never know they are being targeted.

The "False Court" (Secret Evidence)

The government can present "secret evidence" to the judge that you and your lawyer are not allowed to see. You lose the case based on evidence you cannot refute because you don't know what it is.

Direct Quote from Bill C-8, s. 15.9(1)
“The following rules apply to judicial review proceedings in respect of an order made under section 15.1 or 15.2 or a regulation made under paragraph 15.8(1)(a):
(a) if the judge determines that evidence or other information provided by the Minister is not relevant or if the Minister withdraws the evidence or other information, the decision of the judge must not be based on that evidence or other information and the judge must return it to the Minister; and
(b) the judge must ensure the confidentiality of all evidence and other information that the Minister withdraws."
Direct Quote from Bill C-8 s. 45(1)
“If the Superintendent believes on reasonable grounds that there is or is likely to be a contravention of any provision of this Act or the regulations, the Superintendent may order a designated operator to
(a) stop doing something that is or is likely to be in contravention of that provision or cause it to be stopped; or
(b) take any measure that is necessary in order to comply with the requirements of that provision or to mitigate the effects of non-compliance.”
Direct Quote from Bill C-8, s.91
“The amount that may be fixed under any regulations made under paragraph 135(1)(h) as the penalty for a violation must not be more than
(a) $1,000,000, in the case of an individual; and
(b) $15,000,000, in any other case.”
Direct Quote from Bill C-8, s.94
“A violation that is committed or continued on more than one day constitutes a separate violation in respect of each day on which it is committed or continued.”

How "Separate Violations" Function

- Essentially, this section turns a single ongoing action into a series of distinct legal events.
- The Multiplier Effect: If a company is in violation of a regulation for 10 consecutive days, they aren't facing one fine; they are facing 10 separate fines.
- The Financial Impact: If the maximum penalty for a violation is $50,000, a three-day streak results in a potential $150,000 penalty.
- The Intent: This creates an immediate, escalating financial incentive to fix the problem as fast as possible.

CHINA VANCOUVER ATTEMPT

Thank you to the competent and proven executives that directed this study. Thank you for helping protect Canadian democracy. I read the study and I've quoted some of the info that I felt was most important for other readers.
Schwartz, Noah S., Ella Duncan, and Korian Deseron. Off Target: Evaluating Post-2019 Changes to Canada's Gun Control Laws. Macdonald-Laurier Institute, 26 Mar. 2026,

STUDY ANALYSIS

"Only two of the thirteen studies that passed our criteria of being peer-reviewed, rooted in empirical data, and published between January 1, 2000, and January 1, 2024, provided evidence in support of ASW bans (DiMaggio et al. 2019; Post et al. 2021); however, these studies did not separate these from bans of high-capacity magazines, which have been associated with a lower risk of fatal mass shooting (Webster et al. 2020). It is important to note that high-capacity magazines have been banned in Canada since 1991."

"Meanwhile, the literature shows that state and federal level ASW bans in the US have had no impact on firearms homicides (Gius 2014), urban firearm mortality (Huang et al. 2022), and the number of mass shootings (Lemieux 2014), and did not reduce deaths in
mass shootings (Lemieux 2014)."

POLICE INTERVIEWS

"The rank-and-file officers we interviewed spoke of the rarity of encountering these firearms. Some had never encountered one in the field, and most of those encountered before 2020 were traced to the United States."

"We found no evidence in the scholarly literature to support a handgun ban."

"This ban has made Canada an outlier in OECD countries, the overwhelming majority of which (33 of 38) allow civilians to own handguns for recreational purposes."

"When asked what impact they have seen from the handgun freeze, most police officers were quite direct: "Zero impact""

The Gun Laws Are a Documented Failure

- According to Public Safety Canada and CCFR analysis:
- Total Declared: Over 67,000 firearms were declared by 37,869 individual owners by the deadline.
- CCFR Estimated Total: The CCFR highlights that there are over 2 million firearms actually affected by the bans [1], meaning only about 3% of the total estimated pool was declared.
- Cost per Firearm: Reports shared by the CCFR suggest administrative and program costs have reached approximately $25,000 for every declared firearm. Additionally, almost every police department in the country has declined to enforce these laws via the gun buyback program.

[1] "Statement on Buyback Launch Announcement." Canadian Coalition for Firearm Rights, 17 Jan. 2026,

GARY. A, CANADIAN SAFETY MINISTER CAUGHT ADMITTING THE GUN LAWS WERE A WASTE

- Caputo, Frank [@FrankCaputoKTN]. Post about the Trudeau government's firearms policy and law-abiding owners. X, 22 Nov. 2022

REFERENCES FOR INSTITUTIONS

David Asper Centre for Constitutional Rights. Constitutional Commentary on Bill C-15: Ministerial Exemptions and the Rule of Law. University of Toronto, Faculty of Law, 10 Mar. 2026 ||| "CCLA Co-Leads Call to Withdraw Anti-Democratic Exemption Powers from Bill C-15." Canadian Civil Liberties Association, 20 Feb. 2026, ccla.org/fundamental-freedoms/ccla-co-leads-call-to-withdraw-anti-democratic-exemption-powers-from-bill-c-15/. ||| "Bill C-9 Was Supposed to Fight Hate. Instead, It's Being Rushed Through Parliament and Threatens the Rights of Every Canadian." Canadian Civil Liberties Association, 2 Apr. 2026, https://ccla.org/press-release/bill-c-9-was-supposed-to-fight-hate-instead-its-being-rushed-through-parliament-and-threatens-the-rights-of-every-canadian/. ||| Canadian Centre for Policy Alternatives. The Red Tape Reduction Illusion: How Bill C-15 Centralizes Power. 25 Mar. 2026, ||| Bussey, Barry W. "When Disagreement Becomes 'Hate,' Democracy is at Risk." Macdonald-Laurier Institute, 27 Feb. 2026, macdonaldlaurier.ca/when-disagreement-becomes-hate-democracy-is-at-risk-barry-w-bussey-in-national-newswatch/. ||| Ecojustice Canada. Open Letter to Federal Parliamentarians to Remove "Henry the VIII" Exemption Powers from Budget Bill C-15. 20 Feb. 2026,||| "CCF Warns that C-15 Would Allow Carney Gov't to Exempt Any Person or Company from Almost Any Law." CCF Blog, 9 Dec. 2025, theccf.ca/ccf-warns-bill-c-15-executive-overreach/. ||| Open Letter to Federal Parliamentarians on Budget Bill C-15: Draconian Powers and the Rule of Law. 24 Feb. 2026, hrw.org/news/2026/02/24/open-letter-canada-budget-bill-c-15.
Canadian Labour Congress. "Protecting Fundamental Rights — Our Concerns with Bill C-9." CLC Statements, 12 Dec. 2025, canadianlabour.ca/protecting-fundamental-rights-bill-c-9/. ||| University of Ottawa (CIPPIC) / Ligue des droits et libertés. Submission to the Standing Committee on Justice and Human Rights (JUST) regarding Bill C-9. House of Commons, 5 Nov. 2025, ||| "Policing Thought: How Bill C-9 and C-63 Cross the Threshold into Dangerous Territory." National Post, 12 Jan. 2026. ||| "First Reading: The 'Henry VIII' Clause Buried in Carney's First Budget." National Post, 2 Dec. 2025. Department of Justice says our charter rights are "engaged" which literally means they are violating our Charter rights and then justifying why. "Charter Statement: Bill C-15, An Act to Implement Certain Provisions of the Budget." Government of Canada, 27 Jan. 2026.

REMEMBER OUR VETERANS REMEMBER THEIR SACRIFICES

faith and with the utmost respect. I would not be handing these out one by one myself if there wasn't a massive threat to every Canadian's personal freedoms and security. Additionally, if I could get this info out digitally I would but it is not possible. If we do not all speak up now, our democracy will fall and we will lose our fundamental rights as Canadians.

Most of us take our democracy and freedoms for granted. We forget the men and women who have fought and died to keep us free. 909 police officers and 118,000 military officers (in WW1, WW2, Afghanistan and Korea) died fighting to protect democracy and free speech in Canada. If we do not stop this dictatorship from forming, their fight and their deaths will have been for nothing.

About Me: Nicholas Jordan Wagter

519-701-9013 | njwagter2@yahoo.com | X: @realsunlight_ca (it does have posts but they are suppressed)

STORY: I hired a software developer in Toronto (Alex Rocket) to build a platform for me, but after we started I realized he was affiliated with Ukrainian and Bishnoi organized crime groups. I attempted to get help from the Toronto Police and the RCMP seven times in person while under duress, only to be turned away. The Toronto Police were very helpful regarding previous crimes until I reported that Alex Rocket was behind these new attacks on me. At that point, I realized that organized crime had infiltrated the Toronto Police and Canadian politics. *My story should be a red herring for you, I am a witness of the corruption and tyranny of this government.*

THEY ARE TRYING TO DELETE ME FROM THE INTERNET. Here is my MMI Backpack, an MMI linkedin post, me winning an undergraduate UWO 3 minute thesis competition award in 2019, and me with my Delta Upsilon Fraternity brothers.



THEY ARE TRYING TO DELETE ME FROM THE INTERNET. Here is an MMI linkedin post with me in it, me winning an undergraduate UWO 3 minute thesis competition award in 2019, and me with my UWO Delta Upsilon Fraternity brothers.


linkedin.com/posts/mast
Sign in
Join now
MMI Master of Management of Innovation - University of Toronto's Post
MMI Master of Management of Innovation - University of Toronto
749 followers
1y · Edited
Wishing all MMI students a great start in their internship. The summer term is the final milestone in the MMI program. New jobs, new adventures, and new opportunities await you. Best of luck as you start this exciting chapter.
Eliana Abu-Manneh Catrina Alshami, CAPM® Sarah Asim Nida Baig Natalie Barsalona Tanisha B Anshul Chohan Mikaela C. Josué García Granados Henrique Ho Cesar Hriday Hothi Christian Kalinaj Godoy Mariam Khan Elina Lemud Jeffrey Li Selina Bains Clifford Ehi-Okoebor Mingye J. Fanjin (Flora) Liu Manal Malik Lauren McCabe Manujaa Nagarajah Ododo Owodeha-Ashaka Seif Qarqash Colin Ryan Naman Sharma Feroza T. Nicholas Wagter Stephen Wu Lingxuan Wu Zisang Yan Clarissa Y. Tarek Z. Institute for Management & Innovation (IMI) UTM Simrandeep F

EXTRA REFERENCES

Note: Various organized criminals and politicians have hacked my accounts so I've had a hard time verifying myself professionally.

- **Instagram**: instagram.com/introstellar.io
- **Patent/legal Work**: https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&source=web&rct=j&opi=89978449&url=https://ptacts.uspto.gov/ptacts/public-informations/petitions/1556313/download-documents%3FartifactId%3DmVJyWWGOY1gCexpjfYk0sbsRbwJKGQey-v_-Qh5tSVC0vNhHliC-Yp8&ved=2ahUKEwjYi47koOiRAxUcHjQIHaA9CwYQFnoECCUQAQ&usg=AOvVaw287fB0rJEc8OoaRAB9y8rE
- **Published Scientific Article:** Super Special Relativity - https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/40880621
- **(UWO Dean's list):** https://www.uwo.ca/sci/pdf/DHL/DHL1819_enlarged.pdf
- **University of Toronto**: https://www.linkedin.com/posts/master-of-management-of-innovation_wishing-all-mmi-students-a-great-start-in-activity-7192247187806851072-f4Zo